

Agenda

- Welcome and Introduction Glenn Laughlin (Pelagis) ,Rafael Ponce (ESRI), Jonathan Pritchard (IIC Technologies) -Marine DWG Co-chairs
- Introduction to OGC Trevor Taylor, OGC
- Unlocking the Value of Marine Data Marine DWG Co-chairs
 - FMSDI Pilot project(s)
 - Testbed 18 outputs
 - Way forward
- ISO and the Marine Domain Peter Parslow , ISO TC211
- APIs, FAIR and HOs Trevor Taylor OGC, Jonathan Pritchard,
- MDWG way forward– Jonathan Pritchard
- Close











Partnerships – Critical!

- Collective Problem Solving – Innovation
- Multiplier effect + reduce redundant work
- Maximize Investments
- Long History on collaboration – which is accelerating
- ISO Will be added

























- Overview of FMSDI initiative
- FMSDI Phase 1 Results and Lesson Learned
- FMSDI Phase 2 Results and Lesson Learned
- FMSDI Phase 3 Status and Lesson Learned
- OGC Testbed 18 Marine Elements
- FMSDI Phase 4&5 and Future Plans



For more information you can see OGC <u>FMSDI Phase 1&2</u> and <u>FMSDI</u> <u>Phase 3</u> Web Pages

FMSDI Initiative

Demonstrate aspects of multi-country/region Federated Marine Spatial Data Infrastructures:

- Stakeholders Inclusivity future focus on less developed regions
- Delivery Demonstrate how federated Marine SDI can provide simple, secure access using modern standards based approaches (OGC APIs, IHO S-1XX), ISO);
- Areas of interest Baltic and North Sea, Arctic, South East Asia, Caribbean











RFI Responders Data producer/owner Data user Data broker/enabler Multiple roles Figure A.2 – Summary of the 14 responses received to the question regarding their role as a data "producer/owner", or "user" or "broker/enabler" or have a "multiple roles"







RFI: Results and Summary

- 1. The need for international collaboration in the FMSDI is prominent
- 2. A regional approach for the FMSDI may be best
- 3. As these regional MSDIs become established, they can coordinate with neighboring regions to ensure interoperability and share best practices.

28



Geos Cons



Phase 2: Summary & Participants

- Demonstrate access to Baltic/North Sea Federated Marine Protected Area (MPA) data for a wider variety of end users outside of the traditional MSDI domain.
- Demonstrate marine data infrastructure beyond S-1xx data (greater fidelity, mobility, and variety of data and standards (e.g. terrestrial, meteorological, earth observation, online sensors, etc.))
- Test and improve marine data accessibility and analysis with modern OGC APIs



Phase 2: Demo Result

IIC Technologies Server (Demo link)

- To ingest the MPA data from various sources of the Baltic/North Sea providers, transform the data to comply with the S-122 standard, and offer it through Modern OGC APIs.
- Proposed **enhancements to IHO S-122 model** to encompass broader uses of MPA data
- Query Endpoint supporting complex queries on data.
- GeoJSON encoding of S-100 data.



Open Geospatial Consortium

Phase 2: Demo Result

UCalgary Server (Demo link)

- Fusion server that integrates multiple data sets
- Uses DGGS with support for raster and vector data
- DGGS-powered server exposes OGC Environmental Data Retrieval (EDR) API



Phase 2: Demo Result

Helyx Client (Demo link)

- Ingest MPA data from server
- DDIL (Disconnected, Disrupted, Intermittent, Lowbandwidth) viewpoint

Scenario

• Vessel at sea needs to query what MPA features exist within 5NM of a given route

Previous work addresses compensating mechanisms

- Caching
- Data compression
- Geopackages



<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><image><image><image><image>

Phase 2: Demo Result

Pelagis Client

- Provided MPA server for Baltic and North Sea
- Integrates data from multiple agencies
- Focus was on different views on the data, represented as dedicated collections for direct consumption by consumers





Phase 2: Engineering Report





Engineering Report is now accessible!

TOWARDS A FEDERATED MARINE SDI: IHO AND OGC STANDARDS APPLIED TO MARINE PROTECTED AREA DATA ENGINEERING REPORT Open Geospatial Consortium

NGINEERING REPOR

Phase 2 ER: OGC Standards

- Using OGC API Features to Serve MPA Data: The implementations of OGC API standards were found to be of great use because of their ability to retrieve data from the authoritative sources, the ease of automation by client services, the format-neutrality of such APIs and the fine control over retrieval which is not present in file based encodings. This allows for simple filters on data fields, compound and spatial queries, as well as simpler queries against bounding boxes.
- Accessing MPA Data Through OGC API Features: Requesting all MPA features proved to be expensive from a computing perspective. Authentication and authorization was identified as not explored sufficiently.
- Spatial Filtering Using a Bounding Box Query: The bbox spatial filter, which is specified in Part 1 of the OGC API Features standard, returned unnecessary data back to clients which can be a challenge for users operating in low connectivity environments where bandwidth is at a premium.

Phase 2 ER: OGC Standards

- Using Bounding Boxes to Represent Features in Denied, Degraded, Intermittent, or Limited Bandwidth (DDIL) environments: Bounding boxes were created for some of the feature collections to reduce the complexity and size of the MPAs in order to make them suitable for DDIL environments.
- EDR API and Discrete Global Grid System (DGGS): While the EDR API has been shown in the pilot project to provide a naïve client with the tools to successfully "explore" DGGS data, any client that requests a location from a DGGS server must understand the DGGS geometry it is receiving.

<section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>

Phase 2 ER: IHO Standards

- Many agencies have extensive, detailed in-house databases which are far more sophisticated than S-100/S-122, or that which is required for data exchange
- The S-100/S-122 Model from a server perspective: The current S-122 model was found to be fairly basic in terms of its representation of MPA data. This encoding, whilst a good fit for maritime use cases, did not seem to currently reflect the broader application of MPAs in different geospatial agencies and the richer attribution required for those uses.
- S-100 needs a way of structuring the transformation of data from one feature catalogue into another
- Several suggestions to S-122 content and structure enhancements made
- Lack of support for providers of Marine Protected Area networks noted
- **Developing an API** would allow internal/external interfaces to be expressed and data exchange to be defined. It would allow agencies to implement an S-100 "model" internally and transform data to/from S-122

Geos Cons

Phase 2: GeoJSON and OGC API-Features

- FMSDI2 has implemented a GeoJSON encoding for S-100 data. The intention is to publish this as a formal document within the OGC. Once published, such an encoding would harmonise with OGC API Features and allow for seamless integration between S-100 data and OGC API Features.
- **CovJSON vs. GeoJSON**: For an EDR service, Coverage JSON would represent the data better instead of GeoJSON.





Phase 3: Connecting Land and Sea to Protect the Arctic Environment

- Learn more about current capabilities and gaps of marine data & services offered by various Arctic Marine Spatial Data Infrastructures, Web portals, and directly accessible cloud/native data:
 - Test interoperability of international standards
 - **Showcase the value** of a data rich environment to stakeholders to further understand and respond to impacts of climate change and human activity
 - Support building a data rich Federated Marine/Arctic SDI
 - Allow for **better informed decisions**
 - Opportunity to **build on past efforts** and help advance technology and guide standards to increase interoperability

Phase 3: The Overarching Scenario

- In the last 12 years there have been a significant increase in shipping traffic (as is the risk of accidents)
- A sea-based, transportation, health and safety scenario incorporating the land/sea interface in Alaska
- Expedition ship runs aground in Kotzebue Sound, north of Nome Alaska
- Voyaging to Kangerlussuaq, Greenland, with approx. 200 passengers and crew on board
- Interoperability between land and marine data that is necessary to understand coastal erosion
- This area includes national parks and a number of Large Marine Ecosystems (LMEs) with challenging navigation conditions





Sub-scenarios

Development of Participant Sub-scenarios

All participants developed sub-scenarios within the context of the overarching scenario. Subscenarios workflows were linked to the overarching scenario through:

- The Event Vessel grounding (weather and/or mechanical issues and/or coastal erosion)
- Moving the vessel combination land (heavy equipment) & sea (tug boats) access
- Rescuing / transferring passengers and crew (immediate transfer of any injured)
- Ecological impact prediction and mediation (both on land and in the ocean)

End-to-end sub-scenario demonstrations:

- The exposure of existing data via OGC APIs.
- Consumption and interoperability of available data by OGC APIs and IHO standards.
- A focus on the marine / terrestrial boundary.
- Discovery of data and services metadata and integration into the overall incident.
- The binding to, or the portrayal of data and services via a variety of clients and/or GIS application.



Expedition cruise ship, Discovery grounded

> Open Geos Cons







Geospatial Consortium

IHO S-100 and OGC APIs for)IIC TECHNOLOGIES **Marine Rescue Activities** Created a detailed scenario of a grounding incident of a cruise vessel in the test area, together with search and rescue responses. The grounding incident was as detailed as possible and realistic in its conception. Details attempted to ensure scenarios for land/sea integration and data interoperability were key to Sub-scenario details using real navigational data overlaid on an S-100 ECDIS simulator the responses An aggregation of various S-100 datasets to support the incident response, scenarios for their use and distribution What data content is required to enable search an escue operations? What S-100 elements are requir ad how can they be harmonised with land based da Prepared from existing navigational publications where it did not already exist. This included both pre-prepared data already extant in the region, e.g. NOAA approach charts of the area and data prepared/digitised specially for the scenario OGC API Features endpoints of the prepared, demonstration data to examine the applicability of API access to such S-100 data Aggregations of Chart Features Land Areas Depth Areas, Dredged Areas and Soundings Routing **Demonstration Video** Vessel Traffic Management and regulated areas Aids to Navigation and significant features. Radio Signals and Communications . Land Infrastructure / Runways and Land Region API endpoints were aggregated **using definitions from the IHO geospatial registry with coverage and meaningful descriptive metadata**. The APIs were encoded using an S-100 General Feature Model (GFM) JSON encoding which was drafted during the project. pygeoapi provided the endpoint functionality .



Compatibility of Datasets - Arctic Voyage Planning and search &rescue scenario

- Esri Canada (Client D100) used ArcGIS Pro (*latest version*) software:
 - To consume and test the performance and compatibility of the datasets provided by the servers (*server 1 and 2*)
 - **Developed an Arctic Voyage Planning Guide** to help the ship captain in search and rescue scenarios.
- Easy to integrate the OGC compliant data from other servers into ArcGIS Pro.
- No issues in terms of data interoperability and interactions



Demonstration Video

Open Geospatial Consortium

HELYX[™]

Geospati Consortiu



55

Oil Spill Effects During Recovery

Overview

- Built web client using Leaflet (open JS library)
- End user without desktop GIS capability
- Connect to numerous data sources
- Perform analysis in the client

Key findings

- Can easily consume and visualise various types of geospatial data
- Via OGC APIs, WMS / WFS and local files
- Able to perform client-side processing
- Can struggle with large datasets or complex shapes
- Datasets from different sources do not always reconcile
- Most noticeable differences around coastlines





DGGS API and coastal erosion, rising sea levels and navigational hazards

• The University of Calgary team demonstrates interactivity between a Discrete Global Grid System Server and an end-user Client application using Open Geospatial Consortium API and Discrete Global Grid System Standards.

• Users Calculate, Explore and Search/Filter over 150 GB of geographical data integrated by the DGGS on-demand ready for their analysis ; Scientific - Coastal Erosion, Navigational - Risk Assessment, and Environmental - Climate Change within the study area in Alaska.

Key takeaways include:

· Prototyping a DGGS Tile Generator to interoperate and transmit DGGS data via OGC Tile Matrix standards.



Demonstration Video



Open Geospatial Consortium

55

Ecere DGGS API (3D) - Analytics Related to Coastal Flooding and Erosion GN®SIS Visualizing output of on-demand coastal erosion analysis from

University of Calgary server (OGC API - DGGS)

ISEA9R (rhombic) Equal area and axis-aligned DGGS, 2D TileMatrixSet (OGC API - Tiles)

Coastal erosion workflow expressed with OGC API - Processes - Part 3: Workflows and Chaining, Common Query Language (CQL2)





Phase 3: Demo Result

Demonstrate a cross-cutting solution leveraging cloud infrastructure and real-time data.

- Modular data integration platform
- May be configured to source and supply any

describable data in raw and standard formats

- including but not limited to IHO and OGC standards
- Organize data in a when-where-what order
- reactively manage meta data
- Provide a real-time data plane and efficient visualization of data over time



Consortium

Open Geospatial Consortium

57

Survey

Released in October 2022 to gather and identify the requirements and use cases of a regional/international MSDI. to help shape the OGC's future FMSDI pilot activities and to serve the user community's needs better (n=35) – questions listed below.

- Is your organization aware of marine spatial data infrastructures (MSDIs)?
- Is your organization aware of the concept of a Federated MSDI?
- What is the overall role of your organization in a federated marine spatial data infrastructure
- Is your organization aware of marine spatial data infrastructures (MSDIs)?
- Is your organization aware of the concept of a Federated MSDI?
 What is the overall role of your organization in a federated marine spatial data infrastructure
- What activities is your organization involved in within the marine domain?
- Do you use any of these spatial/marine standards?
- Do you currently use an MSDI (Marine Spatial Data Infrastructure)?
- If you answered 'Yes' to the previous question (#6), does the MSDI that you are using meet your needs? Please rank each of the FAIR (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) Data Principles as it applies to data and services provided in the MSDI you contribute to or access (5-star rating system, with a 5-star being the best score).
- Please rank the UN-GGIM Integrated Geospatial Information Framework (IGIF) Strategic Pathways, on the basis of needed improvement to enable federation of the MSDIs you contribute to or access, from your perspective
- Please provide applicable high-level use case(s), from your point of view, that would best exercise a federated MSDI.

Full Results will be discussed as part of the Engineering Report review

If you answered 'Yes' to using MSDI, does the MSDI that you are using meet your needs?



Need to continue implementing interoperability between OGC and IHO to make more IHO S-100 datasets available to the public.















<section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><section-header><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item><list-item>









Federated MSDI OGC Marine Reference Model OGC Moving Features Mapping to the OGC Features API Marine Regulated Areas (S-100) MF-JSON encoding @scale; alternative encoding formats **AIS Vessel Traffic** Resolution of information model to existing OGC standards **Environmental Monitoring Features** (Space) Time-series analysis **UN-GGIM IGIF-T** Real time event modelling & inference **OGC Connected Systems OGC Sensor Integration** Resolution of sensor standard(s) to existing OGC standards and Modernization of the SensorML and other industry standards (IHO, IMO, IALA, ...) related Sensor Web Enablement (SWE) Complements and overlaps with OGC Observations, Standards Measurements and Samples (OMS v3) Alignment with OGC OMSv3 Role of SSN towards a 'digital twin model' of the ocean Investigation of Coverage-based The role of time – TM_Instant; TM_Period; Sampling Schedules observations and OGC EDR The role of space - sampling points, curves, trajectories, corridors, coverages Observation Systems @Scale Geosp Conso 71





Digital Arctic

Background:

Coastal erosion at the land – sea interface: Where the land meets the sea

- Demonstrating interoperability between land and marine data to understand coastal erosion (e.g. ocean currents, geology, permafrost characteristics, etc.) in the Arctic
 - Defining coastline (highest line) and transition zone.
 - Need to connect with national organisations working on the coastal transition zone.



Government Gouvernement of Canada du Canada **Digital Arctic** Canada Demonstrate the role of OGC Standards to: 500 1,000 K Sensitivity to climate change Support measurement of impacts of coastal erosion (e.g. infrastructure, food safety, traditional activities, wildlife migration, sea level rise, inundation etc.) in the context of a changing Arctic. Impact on wildlife migration corridors: land-sea ice-٠ island (caribou) and sea (marine mammals) Mapping coastal sensitivity to climate change and the impacts on local communities Integrating Sensor Feed (e.g weather buoys), tabular and spatial data, improved data discovery, From Canada's Marine Coasts in a Changing Climate catalogues, web service to API transition, emerging Arctic requirements (e.g. vector tiles and style sheets across land - water interface (roads, coastline). 75





After Next ? Ideas ?

- Additional Small Island States Sea Rise Scenarios?
- Mediterranean Cross Jurisdiction Federated MSDI /environment ?
- Middle East Coastal Dynamics, sea rise, environmental sensitivity?
- Africa Extreme Weather events (land and sea) ?
- South America all the above ?







Copyright © Open Geospatial Consortium

Thank You

Community

500+ International Members
110+ Member Meetings
60+ Alliance and Liaison partners
50+ Standards Working Groups
45+ Domain Working Groups
25+ Years of Not for Profit Work
10+ Regional and Country Forums

Innovation

120+ Innovation Initiatives 380+ Technical reports Quarterly Tech Trends monitoring

Standards

65+ Adopted Standards 300+ products with 1000+ certified implementations 1,700,000+ Operational Data Sets Using OGC Standards